

FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: SB0458

Title: Revise restitution amounts for certain illegally taken species

Primary

Sponsor: Fred Thomas

Status: As introduced

Sponsor: _____ Date _____

Dave Lewis, Budget Director _____ Date _____

Fiscal Summary

	<u>FY2000 Difference</u>	<u>FY2001 Difference</u>
Expenditures:	\$0	\$0
Revenue:		
General Fund	(\$51,720)	(\$51,720)
State Special Revenue	\$117,695	\$117,695
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:	(\$51,720)	(\$51,720)

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	
	X	Significant Local Gov. Impact		X	Technical Concerns
	X	Included in the Executive Budget		X	Significant Long-Term Impacts

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Based on Enforcement Division records of court-ordered restitution for the past three years, 89 hunters were required to pay \$51,720 in restitution each year.
2. Approximately 10% of the animals would be considered a trophy animal and would require higher restitution amounts, so the annual restitution collected would increase to \$117,695.
3. The DFWP is unable to determine any additional revenue to the general fund and the counties due to the increase in penalties for trophy animals.

FISCAL IMPACT:

	FY2000 <u>Difference</u>	FY2001 <u>Difference</u>
<u>Revenues:</u>		
General Fund (01)	(\$51,720)	(\$51,720)
State Special Revenue (02)	\$117,695	\$117,695
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):</u>		
General Fund (01)	(\$51,720)	(\$51,720)
State Special Revenue (02)	\$117,695	\$117,695